

Idaho Workforce Development Training Fund: Outreach Project Rubric

Application Title: (to be filled in by staff for each project)

This rubric is intended as a tool to assist Outreach Committee members with assessing and organizing their thoughts regarding Outreach Project applications, and to provide applicants with an understanding of the components the Committee values in an applicant.

Qualifying Questions:

Does this proposal strongly support WDTF goals and Guiding Document?	Yes	No
Is WDTF Outreach Projects is clearly a good source of funding?	Yes	No
The application does NOT include any training costs?	Yes	No
Will the project increase career awareness for Idahoans or increase awareness of the WDTF?	Yes	No
Will the project reach one or all of the Committee's <u>target audiences</u> ? (Employers, Pipeline, Work-Challenged, Partners)	Yes	No

Project Reach and Metrics:

Outcome per reach and reach number: (to be filled in by staff for each project)

Estimated cost per outcome: (to be filled in by staff for each project)

Assess the value per reach

Low	Low							High		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
The prop	osed metrics se	em unsound or i	irrelevant		٦	The proposed mo	etrics are sound a	and desirable		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

Notes:



Project Workforce Development Council Needs Relevance:

	The project lac	cks relevance to	Council needs		to Council need	ouncil needs					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Notes:										
Fundir	ng and Sustainak	oility:									
	This is an old p	rogram in need	of new funding				This is a one-ti	me / new projec	:t		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
There seems to be other more appropriate sources of funding WDTF is an ideal source of										ding	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Supports the organization's base operating costs / salaries Supports direct outreach expenses, connections.								our target audi	ences	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Project seems unsustainable							One-time or sustainable project			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	The budget is funded solely by the WDTF							The budget has ample matched funds or resources			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Notes:										
Confid	ence:										
	Confidence in	applying organiz	ation is:								
	Low				Medium				High		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	



	Confidence in delivery of outcomes is:											
	Low				Medium	Medium				High		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
	Notes:											
Partr	nerships and (Collaboration:										
	The projec	t is not connec	cted to key partn	ers		The project is connected to key partn						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Addit	tional partner	s the Committ	ee would like to	see engaged:								
Repli	cability of pro	oject:										
	The projec	t is not replica	ble				The project is replicable					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Add	litional Comm	ents:				Recommendati	on:					